TRANSACTIONS OF THE
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
Volume 00, Number 0, Pages 000–000
S 0002-9947(XX)0000-0

arXiv:1701.07276v1 [math.NT] 25 Jan 2017

ON THE PERIODICITY PROBLEM OF RESIDUAL r-FUBINI SEQUENCES

AMIR ABBAS ASGARI AND MAJID JAHANGIRI

Abstract. For any positive integer r, the r-Fubini number with parameter n, denoted by $F_{n,r}$, is equal to the number of ways that the elements of a set with $n+r$ elements can be weak ordered such that the r least elements are in distinct orders. In this article we focus on the sequence of residues of the r-Fubini numbers modulo a positive integer s and show that this sequence is periodic and then, exhibit how to calculate its period length. As an extra result, an explicit formula for the r-Stirling numbers is obtained which is frequently used in calculations.

1. Introduction

The Fubini numbers (also known as the *Ordered Bell numbers*) form an integer sequence in which the nth term counts the number of weak orderings of a set with n elements. Weak ordering means that the elements can be ordered, allowing ties. A. Cayley studied the Fubini numbers as the number of a certain kind of trees with $n+1$ terminal nodes [2]. The Fubini numbers can also be defined as the summation of the *Stirling numbers of the second kind*. The Stirling number of the second kind which is denoted by $\left\{ {n \atop k} \right\}$, counts the number of partitions of n elements into k non-empty subsets. The sequence of residues of the Fubini numbers modulo a positive integer s is pointed out by Bjorn Poonen. He showed that this sequence is periodic and calculated the period length for each positive integer s [5].

The r-Stirling numbers of the second kind are defined as an extension to the Stirling numbers of the second kind, and similarly, an r-Fubini number is defined as the number of ways which the elements of a set with $n+r$ elements can be weak ordered such that the first r elements are in distinct places. One can study the same problem of periodicity of residual sequence in case of the r-Fubini numbers. I. Mezo investigated this problem for $s = 10$ [4]. In this article, $\omega(A_{r,s})$, the period of the r-Fubini numbers modulo any positive integer $s \in \mathbb{N}$ is computed. Based on the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic, $\omega(A_{r,p})$ is calculated for powers of odd primes p^m. The cases $s = 2^m$ are studied separately. Therefore if $s = 2^m p_1^{m_1} p_1^{m_1} \dots p_k^{m_k}$ is the prime factorization, then the $\omega(A_{r,s})$ is equal to the least common multiple (LCM) of $\omega(A_{r,p_i^{m_i}})$'s and $\omega(A_{r,2^m})$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

The preliminaries are presented in Section 2 and in Sections 3 and 4 the length of the periods are computed in the case of odd prime powers and the 2 powers, respectively. The last section contains the final theorem which presents the conclusion of this article.

2. Basic Concepts

The Stirling number of the second kind with the parameters n and k counts the number of ways that the set $A = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ with n elements can be partitioned into k non-empty subsets. If we want that the first r elements of A are in distinct subsets, the number of ways to do so is the r-Stirling number of the second kind with parameters

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11B50, 11B75, 05A10; Secondary 11B73, 11Y55.
Key words and phrases. residues modulo prime power factors, r-Fubini numbers, periodic sequences, r-Stirling numbers of the second kind.

©XXXX American Mathematical Society

n and k, which is denoted by $\left\{ {n \atop k} \right\}_r$ (so it is clear that $n \geq k \geq r$). Fubini numbers are defined as follows [4]

$$F_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} k! \left\{ {n \atop k} \right\}.$$

In a similar way we can define the r-Fubini numbers as the number of ways which the elements of A can be weak ordered such that the elements $\{1, 2, \ldots, r\}$ are in distinct ranks. These numbers are denoted by $F_{n,r}$ and are evaluated by

$$F_{n,r} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (k+r)! \left\{ {n+r \atop k+r} \right\}_r.$$

There are simple relations and formulae about $\left\{ {n \atop k} \right\}_r$ which are listed below. One can find a proof of them in [4], [1] and [3, §4].

$$\left\{ {n \atop m} \right\}_r = \left\{ {n \atop m} \right\}_{r-1} - (r-1) \left\{ {n-1 \atop m} \right\}_{r-1}, 1 \leq r \leq n \tag{1}$$

$$\left\{ {n \atop m} \right\}_1 = \left\{ {n \atop m} \right\} \tag{2}$$

$$\left\{ {n+r \atop r} \right\}_r = r^n \tag{3}$$

$$\left\{ {n+r \atop r+1} \right\}_r = (r+1)^n - r^n \tag{4}$$

$$\left\{ {n \atop m} \right\} = \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{j=1}^{m} (-1)^{m-j} \binom{m}{j} j^n. \tag{5}$$

In addition to above recurrence relations of the r-Stirling numbers of the second kind, a direct way to compute these numbers is given in the next theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *For $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \leq m \leq n$, the r-Stirling number of the second kind with the parameters n and m is*

$$\left\{ {n \atop m} \right\}_r = \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{j=r}^{m} (-1)^{m-j} \binom{m}{j} j^{n-(r-1)} \left(\frac{(j-1)!}{(j-r)!} \right).$$

Proof. We prove it by the induction on r. For $r = 2$, the relations (1) and (5) result

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ {n \atop m} \right\}_2 =& \left\{ {n \atop m} \right\} - \left\{ {n-1 \atop m} \right\} \\ =& \frac{1}{m!} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} (-1)^{m-j} \binom{m}{j} j^n - \sum_{j=1}^{m} (-1)^{m-j} \binom{m}{j} j^{n-1} \right) \\ =& \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{j=2}^{m} (-1)^{m-j} \binom{m}{j} j^{n-1} (j-1) \end{aligned}$$

Assume that

$$\left\{ {n \atop m} \right\}_r = \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{j=r}^{m} (-1)^{m-j} \binom{m}{j} j^{n-(r-1)} \left(\frac{(j-1)!}{(j-r)!} \right). \tag{6}$$

For $\left\{ {n \atop m} \right\}_{r+1}$, use (1) to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\{ {n \atop m} \right\}_{r+1} &= \left\{ {n \atop m} \right\}_{r} - r\left\{ {n-1 \atop m} \right\}_{r} \\
&= \frac{1}{m!}\sum_{j=r}^{m}(-1)^{m-j}\binom{m}{j}j^{n-(r-1)}\left(\frac{(j-1)!}{(j-r)!}\right) \\
&- r\left(\frac{1}{m!}\right)\sum_{j=r}^{m}(-1)^{m-j}\binom{m}{j}j^{n-1-(r-1)}\left(\frac{(j-1)!}{(j-r)!}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{m!}\sum_{j=r}^{m}(-1)^{m-j}\binom{m}{j}j^{n-r}(j-r)\left(\frac{(j-1)!}{(j-r)!}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{m!}\sum_{j=r+1}^{m}(-1)^{m-j}\binom{m}{j}j^{n-r}\left(\frac{(j-1)!}{(j-(r+1))!}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

□

By $\varphi(n)$ we indicate the number of integer numbers less than n and co-prime to it. It is known as Euler's totient function. The value of $\varphi(n)$ can be computed via the following relation [3]

$$\varphi(n) = n\prod_{p|n}(1-\frac{1}{p})$$

3. The r-Fubini residues modulo prime powers

Let p be a prime number greater than 2 and m be a positive integer. If $\{F_{n,r}\}$ denotes the r-Fubini numbers for a fixed positive integer r, we indicate by $A_{r,q} = \{F_{n,r} \pmod q\}$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the sequence of residues of the r-Fubini numbers modulo the positive integer q. In this section we try to compute the period length of the sequence $A_{r,q}$ when $q = p^m$. We denote this length by $\omega(A_{r,q})$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let p be an odd prime and let $q = p^m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. If $q \leq r$, then $\omega(A_{r,q}) = 1$*

Proof. The proof is very simple. Since $p \leq r$, we can deduce that $p \mid (k+r)!$, for $k \geq 0$, and by the relation $F_{n,r} = \sum_{k=0}^{n}(k+r)!\left\{ {n+r \atop k+r} \right\}_{r}$, we have $p \mid F_{n,r}$. Therefore $\omega(A_{r,p}) = 1$. □

As pointed out in above proposition, the cases in which the prime power factor of s is less than or equal to r have the period length 1, so it is sufficient to investigate the period length in the cases of $q > r$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let p be an odd prime and $r, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $p \geq r+1$. Then*

$$p^m - r \geq m.$$

Proof. For $m = 1$ the result is obvious. Suppose the inequality holds for any $m \geq 2$. Since $p(p+m) > 2(p+m) > 2p+m$, we have

$$p^2 + pm - p \geq p + m. \tag{7}$$

Since $p - 1 \geq r$, the induction hypothesis can be reformulated to $p^m \geq p - 1 + m$. Multiplication by p results $p^{m+1} \geq p^2 + pm - p$. By (7) we have $p^{m+1} \geq p + (m+1) - 1$, Q.E.D. □

Theorem 3.3. *Let p be an odd prime and $q = p^m$. After the $(m-1)$th term the sequence $A_{r,q}$ has a period with length $\omega(A_{r,q}) = \varphi(q)$.*

Proof. If $n \geq q-r-1$ we can write

$$\begin{aligned} F_{n+\varphi(q),r}-F_{n,r} &= \sum_{k=0}^{n+\varphi(q)} (k+r)!\left\{ {n+\varphi(q)+r \atop k+r} \right\}_r - \sum_{k=0}^{n}(k+r)!\left\{ {n+r \atop k+r} \right\}_r \\ &\equiv \sum_{k=0}^{q-r-1} (k+r)! \left(\left\{ {n+\varphi(q)+r \atop k+r} \right\}_r - \left\{ {n+r \atop k+r} \right\}_r \right) \pmod q \\ &\equiv \sum_{k=0}^{q-r-1} \sum_{j=r}^{k+r} (-1)^{k+r-j} \binom{k+r}{j} j^{n+1} \left(\frac{(j-1)!}{(j-r)!} \right) (j^{\varphi(q)}-1) \pmod q. \end{aligned}$$

If $j = cp$, $c \in \mathbb{N}$, then $j^{n+1} = (cp)^{q-r+h}$, for some $h \geq 0$, so from Lemma 3.2 it follows that $j^{n+1} \equiv 0 \pmod q$. If $(j,q) = 1$, by Euler's Theorem $j^{\varphi(q)} - 1 \equiv 0 (\mathrm{mod}\ q)$, so the right hand side of the above congruence relation vanished and we have

$$F_{n+\varphi(q),r} \equiv F_{n,r} (\mathrm{mod}\ q), \text{ for } n \geq q-r-1. \tag{8}$$

If $m-1 \leq n < q-r-1$ then

$$\begin{aligned} F_{n+\varphi(q),r} - F_{n,r} \equiv & \sum_{k=0}^{q-r-1} (k+r)! \left(\left\{ {n+\varphi(q)+r \atop k+r} \right\}_r - \left\{ {n+r \atop k+r} \right\}_r \right) \\ & - \sum_{k=n+\varphi(q)+1}^{q-r-1} (k+r)! \left\{ {n+\varphi(q)+r \atop k+r} \right\}_r \\ & + \sum_{k=n+1}^{q-r-1} (k+r)! \left\{ {n+r \atop k+r} \right\}_r \pmod q \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \equiv & \sum_{k=0}^{q-r-1} \sum_{j=r}^{k+r} (-1)^{k+r-j} \binom{k+r}{j} j^{n+1} \left(\frac{(j-1)!}{(j-r)!} \right) (j^{\varphi(q)}-1) \\ & - \sum_{k=n+\varphi(q)+1}^{q-r-1} (k+r)! \left\{ {n+\varphi(q)+r \atop k+r} \right\}_r \\ & + \sum_{k=n+1}^{q-r-1} (k+r)! \left\{ {n+r \atop k+r} \right\}_r \pmod q. \end{aligned}$$

Since $n \geq m-1$, in the indices where $j = cp$, $c \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $j^{n+1} = (cp)^{m+h}$, for some $h \geq 0$, and it deduced that $j^{n+1} \equiv 0 \pmod q$. When $(j,q) = 1$, again by Euler's Theorem $j^{\varphi(q)} - 1 \equiv 0 (\mathrm{mod}\ q)$. In the sums $\sum_{k=n+1}^{q-r-1} (k+r)! \left\{ {n+r \atop k+r} \right\}_r$ and $\sum_{k=n+\varphi(q)+1}^{q-r-1} (k+r)! \left\{ {n+\varphi(q)+r \atop k+r} \right\}_r$ the upper parameter of the r-Stirling number is less than the lower one and therefore these two sums are equal to zero. So

$$\begin{aligned} F_{n+\varphi(q),r} - F_{n,r} \equiv & \sum_{k=0}^{q-r-1} \sum_{j=r}^{k+r} (-1)^{k+r-j} \binom{k+r}{j} j^{n+1} \left(\frac{(j-1)!}{(j-r)!} \right) \\ & \times (j^{\varphi(q)}-1) \equiv 0 (\mathrm{mod}\ q), \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$F_{n+\varphi(q),r} \equiv F_{n,r} (\mathrm{mod}\ q), \text{ for } m-1 \leq n < q-r-1. \tag{9}$$

Combining results (8) and (9) gives $F_{n+\varphi(q),r} \equiv F_{n,r} \pmod q$, for $n \geq m-1$. □

4. The r-Fubini residues modulo powers of 2

Similar to many computations in number theory, the case of $p = 2$ has its own difficulties which needs special manipulations. In the case of powers of 2, initially we calculate the residues of 2-Fubini numbers and then use the results in the case of the r-Fubini numbers. We classify the sequence of remainders of 2-Fubini numbers modulo 2^m, $m \geq 7$, in Theorem 4.4 and then, work on remainders of the r-Fubini numbers modulo 2^m, $m \geq 7$ in Theorem 4.7. The special cases will be proved in Theorems 4.1, 4.5 and 4.6. The trivial cases in which $2^m \leq r$ with period length 1 are omitted.

Theorem 4.1. *If $3 \leq m \leq 6$, then after the $(m-1)$th term the sequence $A_{2,2^m}$ has a period with length $\omega(A_{2,2^m}) = 2$.*

Proof. By using the formula $F_{n,2} = \sum_{k=0}^{n}(k+2)!\left\{{n+2 \atop k+2}\right\}_2$ we prove that $F_{n+2,2} - F_{n,2} \equiv 0 \pmod{2^6}$ then it is concluded that $F_{n+2,2} - F_{n,2} \equiv 0 \pmod{2^m}$, $3 \leq m \leq 5$.

$$\begin{aligned} F_{n+2,2} - F_{n,2} &= \sum_{k=0}^{n+2}(k+2)!\left\{{n+4 \atop k+2}\right\}_2 - \sum_{k=0}^{n}(k+2)!\left\{{n+2 \atop k+2}\right\}_2 \\ &\equiv \sum_{k=0}^{5}(k+2)!\left(\left\{{n+4 \atop k+2}\right\}_2 - \left\{{n+2 \atop k+2}\right\}_2\right) \pmod{2^6} \\ &\equiv \sum_{k=0}^{5}\sum_{j=2}^{k+2}(-1)^{k+2-j}\binom{k+2}{j}j^{n+1}(j^2-1)(j-1) \pmod{2^6}. \end{aligned}$$

$m = 6$ implies that $n \geq 5$, so if j is even, then $j^{n+1} = (2c)^{6+h}$, for some $h \geq 0$ and therefore $64 \mid j^{n+1}$. For odd j's, $(j, 64) = 1$ so by Euler's Theorem we have $j^{32} \equiv 1 \pmod{64}$ and therefore $j^{n+1+32} \equiv j^{n+1} \pmod{64}$. This implies

$$\begin{aligned} F_{n+2,2} - F_{n,2} &\equiv \sum_{k=0}^{5}\sum_{l=1}^{\lfloor (k+1)/2 \rfloor}(-1)^{k+2-(2l+1)}\binom{k+2}{2l+1}(2l+1)^{n+1} \\ &\qquad \times \left((2l+1)^2-1\right) \times 2l \pmod{64} \\ &\equiv 16\sum_{k=0}^{5}(-1)^{k+1}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{\lfloor (k+1)/2 \rfloor}\binom{k+2}{2l+1}(2l+1)^{n+1}\left(\frac{l(l+1)}{2}\right)l\right). \end{aligned}$$

Enumerating the last summation for $2 \leq n \leq 33$ shows that it is divisible by 64 and because of periodicity of remainders of j^{n+1} modulo 64, the result follows. □

Now we present the following lemma analogues to Lemma 3.2 in previous section.

Lemma 4.2. *If $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m > 1$, then $2^m - 2 \geq m$.*

Proof. For m=2 the result is obvious. If we assume that

$$2^m - 2 \geq m \tag{10}$$

then multiplication by 2 gives $2^{m+1} - 4 \geq 2m$. Since $2^{m+1} \geq 2m + 4 > m + 3$, we have $2^{m+1} \geq m + 3$ and so $2^{m+1} - 2 \geq m + 1$. □

The following lemma provides a simple but essential relation used in the next theorem. Its proof is provided in Appendix A.

Lemma 4.3. *For $m \geq 7$ and $5 \leq i \leq 2^{m-6}$ we have $2^{m-6} - i \mid 2^{i-5}\binom{2^{m-6}-1}{i}$.*

Theorem 4.4. *If $m \geq 7$, after the $(m-1)$th term, the sequence $A_{2,2^m}$ has a period with length $\omega(A_{2,2^m}) = 2^{m-6}$.*

Proof. In the case of $n \geq 2^m-3$, from Lemma 4.2 we can deduce that $n \geq 2^m-3 \geq m-1$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{n+2^{m-6},2}-F_{n,2} &\equiv \sum_{k=0}^{n+2^{m-6}} (k+2)!\left\{ {n+2^{m-6}+2 \atop k+2} \right\}_2 - \sum_{k=0}^{n}(k+2)!\left\{ {n+2 \atop k+2} \right\}_2 \\
&\equiv \sum_{k=0}^{2^m-3} (k+2)! \left(\left\{ {n+2^{m-6}+2 \atop k+2} \right\}_2 - \left\{ {n+2 \atop k+2} \right\}_2 \right) \pmod{2^m} \\
&\equiv \sum_{k=0}^{2^m-3} \sum_{j=2}^{k+2} (-1)^{k+2-j} \binom{k+2}{j} j^{n+1}(j^{2^{m-6}}-1)(j-1) \pmod{2^m}.
\end{aligned}$$

When j is even, then $j^{n+1} = (2c)^{2^m-2+h}$, for some $h \geq 0$. So by Lemma 4.2, $2^m \mid j^{n+1}$. For odd j's we have

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{n+2^{m-6},2}-F_{n,2} \equiv & \sum_{k=0}^{2^m-3} \sum_{l=1}^{\lfloor (k+1)/2 \rfloor} (-1)^{k+2-(2l+1)} \binom{k+2}{2l+1} (2l+1)^{n+1} \\
& \times ((2l+1)^{2^{m-6}}-1) \times 2l \pmod{2^m} \\
\equiv & 2^{m-4} \sum_{k=0}^{2^m-3} (-1)^{k+1} \sum_{l=1}^{\lfloor (k+1)/2 \rfloor} \binom{k+2}{2l+1} (2l+1)^{n+1} \\
& \times \left(\frac{(2l+1)^{2^{m-6}}-1}{2^{m-5}} \right) l \pmod{2^m} \\
\equiv & 2^{m-4} \sum_{k=0}^{2^m-3} (-1)^{k+1} \sum_{l=1}^{\lfloor (k+1)/2 \rfloor} \binom{k+2}{2l+1} (2l+1)^{n+1} \\
& \times \sum_{i=1}^{2^{m-6}} l^i 2^{i-1} \left(\frac{(2^{m-6}-1)!}{i!(2^{m-6}-i)!} \right) l \pmod{2^m}.
\end{aligned}$$

Last expression contains $m-4$ factors of 2, so it is sufficient to prove the last summation is divisible by 16. We denote this summation by $\mathcal{S}$. Simplify the summation $\sum_{i=1}^{2^{m-6}} l^i 2^{i-1} \frac{(2^{m-6}-1)!}{i!(2^{m-6}-i)!}$ and use the Lemma 4.3 gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{2^{m-6}} l^i 2^{i-1} \left(\frac{(2^{m-6}-1)!}{i!(2^{m-6}-i)!} \right) &\equiv \sum_{i=1}^{4} l^i 2^{i-1} \left(\frac{(2^{m-6}-1)!}{i!(2^{m-6}-i)!} \right) \pmod{16} \\
&\equiv l + l^2(2^{m-6}-1) + \frac{l^3 \times 2(2^{m-6}-1)(2^{m-6}-2)}{3} \\
&\quad + \frac{l^4(2^{m-6}-1)(2^{m-6}-2)(2^{m-6}-3)}{3} \pmod{16}.
\end{aligned}$$

Assume $m \geq 10$ (the case $7 \leq m \leq 9$ is proceeded at last). So $16 \mid 2^{m-6}$. Let $3a = 2(2^{m-6}-1)(2^{m-6}-2)$ and $3b = (2^{m-6}-1)(2^{m-6}-2)(2^{m-6}-3)$. Then $3a \equiv 4 \pmod{16}$ and $3b \equiv -6 \pmod{16}$. Therefore $a \equiv -4 \pmod{16}$ and $b \equiv -2 \pmod{16}$. So the proof continues as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S} &\overset{16}{\equiv} \sum_{k=0}^{2^m-3} (-1)^{k+1} \left(\sum_{l=1}^{\lfloor (k+1)/2 \rfloor} \binom{k+2}{2l+1} (2l+1)^{n+1} (l - l^2 - 4l^3 - 2l^4) l \right) \\
\mathcal{S} &\overset{8}{\equiv} \sum_{k=0}^{2^m-3} (-1)^{k+1} \sum_{l=1}^{\lfloor (k+1)/2 \rfloor} \binom{k+2}{2l+1} (2l+1)^{n+1} \left(\frac{l(l+1)}{2} \right) (-2l^2-2l+1) l.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $P(l)$ and $A(k,r,n)$ be the remainder of $\frac{1}{2}(2l+1)^{n+1}(l(l+1))(-2l^2-2l+1)l$ and $\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}\binom{k+2}{2l+r}P(l)$ divided by 8, respectively. By Pascal identity, we have $\binom{k+2}{2l+r} = \binom{k+1}{2l+r} + \binom{k+1}{2l+r-1}$ and therefore

$$\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}\binom{k+2}{2l+r}P(l) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}\binom{k+1}{2l+r}P(l) + \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}\binom{k+1}{2l+r-1}P(l),$$

so

$$A(k,r,n) = A(k-1,r,n) + A(k-1,r-1,n). \tag{11}$$

We can write

$$A(k,r+32,n) \equiv \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}\binom{k+2}{2l+r+32}P(l). \pmod 8$$

The sequence $(P(l))_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}$ has period 16, so $P(l+16) = P(l)$. Set $l' = l+16$, then

$$A(k,r+32,n) \equiv \sum_{l'=-\infty}^{\infty}\binom{k+2}{2l'+r}P(l') \equiv A(k,r,n) \pmod 8. \tag{12}$$

Since $(2l+1,16) = 1$, the Euler's Theorem implies $(2l+1)^8 \equiv 1 \pmod{16}$ and therefore $(2l+1)^{n+1+8} \equiv (2l+1)^{n+1} \pmod{16}$. $A(6,r,n)$ vanishes for $1 \le r \le 32$ and $9 \le n \le 24$, by enumeration, then by (11) and (12), we deduce that

$$A(k,r,n) = 0, \text{ for } k \ge 6. \tag{13}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
A(k,1,n) &\equiv \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}\binom{k+2}{2l+1}(2l+1)^{n+1}\left(\frac{l(l+1)}{2}\right)(-2l^2-2l+1)l \pmod 8\\
&\equiv \sum_{l=1}^{\lfloor (k+1)/2\rfloor}\binom{k+2}{2l+1}(2l+1)^{n+1}\left(\frac{l(l+1)}{2}\right)(-2l^2-2l+1)l\\
&\equiv 0 \pmod 8,
\end{aligned}$$

for $k \ge 6$. If $1 \le k \le 5$, $9 \le n \le 24$ and $1 \le r \le 32$ we have $\sum_{k=1}^{5}(-1)^{k+1}A(k,r,n) \equiv 0 \pmod 8$. The period length of $A(k,r,n)$ with respect to r and n implies that $\sum_{k=1}^{5}(-1)^{k+1}A(k,1,n) \equiv 0 \pmod 8$, for $n \ge 9$. Combining this with (13) we have

$$\mathcal{S} \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{2^m-3}(-1)^{k+1}A(k,1,n) \equiv 0 \pmod 8, \text{ for } n \ge 0.$$

So the result follows in the case of $n \ge 2^m-3$. If $m-1 \le n < 2^m-3$ we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{n+2^{m-6},2} - F_{n,2} &= \sum_{k=0}^{n+2^{m-6}}(k+2)!\left\{\begin{matrix} n+2^{m-6}+2 \\ k+2\end{matrix}\right\}_2 - \sum_{k=0}^{n}(k+2)!\left\{\begin{matrix} n+2 \\ k+2\end{matrix}\right\}_2\\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{2^m-3}(k+2)!\left(\left\{\begin{matrix} n+2^{m-6}+2 \\ k+2\end{matrix}\right\}_2 - \left\{\begin{matrix} n+2 \\ k+2\end{matrix}\right\}_2\right)\\
&- \sum_{k=n+2^{m-6}+1}^{2^m-3}(k+2)!\left\{\begin{matrix} n+2^{m-6}+2 \\ k+2\end{matrix}\right\}_2 + \sum_{k=n+1}^{2^m-3}(k+2)!\left\{\begin{matrix} n+2 \\ k+2\end{matrix}\right\}_2\\
&\equiv \sum_{k=0}^{2^m-3}\sum_{j=1}^{k+2}(-1)^{k+2-j}\binom{k+2}{j}j^{n+1}(j^{2^{m-6}}-1)(j-1) \pmod{2^m}
\end{aligned}$$

When j is even, then $j^{n+1} = (2c)^{m+h}$, for some $h \geq 0$, so $2^m \mid j^{n+1}$. Since $m \geq 10$, for odd j's we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2^m-3}\sum_{j=1}^{k+2}(-1)^{k+2-j}\binom{k+2}{j}j^{n+1}(j^{2^{m-6}}-1)(j-1)$$
$$\equiv 2^{m-4}\sum_{k=0}^{2^m-3}(-1)^{k+1}\sum_{l=1}^{\lfloor (k+1)/2\rfloor}\binom{k+2}{2l+1}(2l+1)^{n+1}$$
$$\times (l-l^2-4l^3-2l^4)l \pmod{2^m}.$$

The last summation is exactly the $\mathcal{S}$ and the proof will be similar as above. Combine with the previous case we have the following congruence relation

$$F_{n+2^{m-6},2} \equiv F_{n,2} \pmod{2^m}, \text{ for } m \geq 10. \tag{14}$$

In the case where $7 \leq m \leq 9$, the remainder of the sum $\sum_{k=0}^{2^m-3}(-1)^{k+1}$ $\times \sum_{l=1}^{\lfloor (k+1)/2\rfloor}\binom{k+2}{2l+1}(2l+1)^{n+1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{4} l^i 2^{i-1}\frac{(2^{m-6}-1)!}{i!(2^{m-6}-i)!}\right) l$ modulo 16 is computed for $m-1 \leq n \leq m+14$. Divisibility of all these values by 16 implies that the recent sum is divisible by 16 therefore

$$F_{n+2^{m-6},2} \equiv F_{n,2} \pmod{2^m}, \text{ for } 7 \leq m \leq 9. \tag{15}$$

Summing up the congruence relations (14) and (15) gives

$$\omega(A_{2,2^m}) = 2^{m-6}, \text{ for } m \geq 7.$$

□

Theorem 4.5. *For $m = 1$ and $m = 2$, the sequence $A_{r,2^m}$ is periodic from the first term and the period length is $\omega(A_{r,2^m}) = 1$.*

Proof. The proof of this theorem is divided into three cases. For $r = 2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{n+1,2} - F_{n,2} &= \sum_{k=0}^{n+1}(k+2)!\left\{{n+3 \atop k+2}\right\}_2 - \sum_{k=0}^{n}(k+2)!\left\{{n+2 \atop k+2}\right\}_2 \\
&\equiv 2\left(\left\{{n+3 \atop 2}\right\}_2 - \left\{{n+2 \atop 2}\right\}_2\right) + 6\left(\left\{{n+3 \atop 3}\right\}_2 - \left\{{n+2 \atop 3}\right\}_2\right) \pmod 4 \\
&= 2\left(2^{n+1}-2^n\right) + 6\left(3^{n+1}-2^{n+1}-(3^n-2^n)\right) \\
&= 2^{n+1} + 6(2\times 3^n - 2^n) = 4(2^{n-1} + 3^{n+1} - 3\times 2^{n-1}) \\
&= 4(3^{n+1}-2^n) \equiv 0 (\text{mod } 4).
\end{aligned}$$

So we can deduce that $\omega(A_{2,4}) = 1$ and obviously $\omega(A_{2,2}) = 1$.

For $r = 3$ we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{n+1,3} - F_{n,3} =& \sum_{k=0}^{n+1}(k+3)!\left\{{n+4 \atop k+3}\right\}_3 - \sum_{k=0}^{n}(k+3)!\left\{{n+3 \atop k+3}\right\}_3 \\
\equiv& 6\left(\left\{{n+4 \atop 3}\right\}_3 - \left\{{n+3 \atop 3}\right\}_3\right) \pmod 4 \\
=& 6\left(3^{n+1}-3^n\right) = 6\times 2\times 3^n = 4\times 3^{n+1} \equiv 0 (\text{mod } 4).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have $\omega(A_{3,4}) = 1$ and $\omega(A_{3,2}) = 1$.

Finally if $r \geq 4$, let $r = 4 + h$, for some $h \geq 0$, then

$$F_{n+1,r} - F_{n,r} = \sum_{k=0}^{n+1}(k+r)!\left\{{n+1+r \atop k+r}\right\}_r - \sum_{k=0}^{n}(k+r)!\left\{{n+r \atop k+r}\right\}_r.$$

Since $4 \mid (k+r)!$, for all $k \geq 0$, we can write $F_{n+1,r} - F_{n,r} \equiv 0 \pmod 4$. Therefore $\omega(A_{r,4}) = 1$ and $\omega(A_{r,2}) = 1$. □

Theorem 4.6. *If $3 \leq m \leq 6$, after the $(m-1)$th term, the sequence $A_{r,2^m}$ has a period with length $\omega(A_{r,2^m}) = 2$.*

Proof. The proof of this theorem is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1. Proving for $m = 6$ deduces the result for $m = 3, 4, 5$. Let $m = 6$, so $n \geq 5$; because $n \geq m - 1$. For $3 \leq r \leq 7$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{n+2,r} - F_{n,r} &= \sum_{k=0}^{n+2} (k+r)! \left\{ {n+2+r \atop k+r} \right\}_r - \sum_{k=0}^{n} (k+r)! \left\{ {n+r \atop k+r} \right\}_r \\ &\equiv \sum_{k=0}^{7-r} \sum_{j=r}^{k+r} (-1)^{k+r-j} \binom{k+r}{j} j^{n+1} (j^2-1) \left(\frac{(j-1)!}{(j-r)!} \right) \pmod{64} \\ &\equiv \sum_{k=0}^{7-r} \sum_{j=r}^{k+r} (-1)^{k+r-j} \binom{k+r}{j} j^{n+1} (j^2-1)(j-1) \left(\frac{(j-2)!}{(j-r)!} \right) \pmod{64} \end{aligned}$$

When j is even, then $j^{n+1} = (2c)^{6+h}$, for some $h \geq 0$, and so $64 \mid j^{n+1}$. For odd j's we have $(j, 64) = 1$ and the Euler's Theorem gives $j^{32} \equiv 1 \pmod{64}$. Therefore $j^{n+1+32} \equiv j^{n+1} \pmod{64}$ and we can write

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=0}^{7-r} \sum_{j=r}^{k+r} (-1)^{k+r-j} \binom{k+r}{j} j^{n+1} (j^2-1)(j-1) \frac{(j-2)!}{(j-r)!} \\ &\quad \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{7-r} \sum_{l=\lfloor r/2 \rfloor}^{\lfloor (k+r-1)/2 \rfloor} (-1)^{k+r-(2l+1)} \binom{k+r}{2l+1} (2l+1)^{n+1} ((2l+1)^2-1) \\ &\quad \times 2l \left(\frac{(2l-1)!}{(2l+1-r)!} \right) \pmod{64} \\ &\quad \equiv 16 \sum_{k=0}^{7-r} (-1)^{k+r-1} \sum_{l=\lfloor r/2 \rfloor}^{\lfloor (k+r-1)/2 \rfloor} \binom{k+r}{2l+1} (2l+1)^{n+1} \left(\frac{l(l+1)}{2} \right) \\ &\quad \times l \left(\frac{(2l-1)!}{(2l+1-r)!} \right) \pmod{64} \end{aligned}$$

By computation we see that the recent summation is divisible by 4, for $2 \leq n \leq 33$. So the proof for $3 \leq r \leq 7$ is completed.

If $r \geq 8$, since $64 \mid 8!$, then $64 \mid (k+r)!$, and

$$\begin{aligned} F_{n+2,r} - F_{n,r} &= \sum_{k=0}^{n+2} (k+r)! \left\{ {n+2+r \atop k+r} \right\}_r - \sum_{k=0}^{n} (k+r)! \left\{ {n+r \atop k+r} \right\}_r \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{64}, \end{aligned}$$

so $\omega(A_{r,2^6}) = 2$, for $r \geq 8$, and the proof is completed. □

Theorem 4.7. *If $m \geq 7$, after the $(m-1)$th term, the sequence $A_{r,2^m}$ has a period with length $\omega(A_{r,2^m}) = 2^{m-6}$.*

Proof. The proof of this theorem is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.4. In the case of $n \geq 2^m - r - 1$ and $r \geq 8$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{n+2^{m-6},r} - F_{n,r} &= \sum_{k=0}^{n+2^{m-6}} (k+r)! \left\{ \begin{matrix} n+2^{m-6}+r \\ k+r \end{matrix} \right\}_r - \sum_{k=0}^{n} (k+r)! \left\{ \begin{matrix} n+r \\ k+r \end{matrix} \right\}_r \\ &\equiv \sum_{k=0}^{2^m-r-1} (k+r)! \left(\left\{ \begin{matrix} n+2^{m-6}+r \\ k+r \end{matrix} \right\}_r - \left\{ \begin{matrix} n+r \\ k+r \end{matrix} \right\}_r \right) \pmod{2^m} \\ &\equiv \sum_{k=0}^{2^m-r-1} \sum_{j=r}^{k+r} (-1)^{k+r-j} \binom{k+r}{j} j^{n+1} (j^{2^{m-6}} - 1) \left(\frac{(j-1)!}{(j-r)!} \right) \pmod{2^m} \end{aligned}$$

In the case of $2^m > r > 2^m - m$, since $m \geq 7$ this implies that $r > 2^m - m \geq 2^{m-1}$ and so

$$2^m \mid (2^{m-1})! \mid (k+r)!, \text{ for each } k \geq 0.$$

Therefore both summations in the above first equation are zero modulo 2^m and in this case $\omega(A_{r,2^m}) = 2^{m-6}$. When $r \leq 2^m - m$, if j is even then $j^{n+1} = (2c)^{2^m-r+h}$, for some $h \geq 0$. So $2^m \mid j^{n+1}$. For odd j's, $(j, 2^{m-5}) = 1$ and by Euler's Theorem $2^{m-5} \mid j^{2^{m-6}} - 1$. Since $r \geq 8$ we can write $\frac{(j-1)!}{(j-r)!} = \left(\frac{(j-8)!}{(j-r)!} \right) \prod_{i=1}^{7} (j-i)$. Therefore $32 \mid \frac{(j-1)!}{(j-r)!}$ and $2^m \mid (j^{2^{m-6}} - 1) \left(\frac{(j-1)!}{(j-r)!} \right)$.

In the case of $m - 1 \leq n < 2^m - r - 1$ and $r \geq 8$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{n+2^{m-6},r} - F_{n,r} &= \sum_{k=0}^{n+2^{m-6}} (k+r)! \left\{ \begin{matrix} n+2^{m-6}+r \\ k+r \end{matrix} \right\}_r - \sum_{k=0}^{n} (k+r)! \left\{ \begin{matrix} n+r \\ k+r \end{matrix} \right\}_r \\ &\equiv \sum_{k=0}^{2^m-r-1} (k+r)! \left(\left\{ \begin{matrix} n+2^{m-6}+r \\ k+r \end{matrix} \right\}_r - \left\{ \begin{matrix} n+r \\ k+r \end{matrix} \right\}_r \right) \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=n+2^{m-6}+1}^{2^m-r-1} (k+r)! \left\{ \begin{matrix} n+2^{m-6}+r \\ k+r \end{matrix} \right\} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=n+1}^{2^m-r-1} (k+r)! \left\{ \begin{matrix} n+r \\ k+r \end{matrix} \right\} \pmod{2^m} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{2^m-r-1} (k+r)! \left(\left\{ \begin{matrix} n+2^{m-6}+r \\ k+r \end{matrix} \right\}_r - \left\{ \begin{matrix} n+r \\ k+r \end{matrix} \right\}_r \right) + 0, \end{aligned}$$

and the proof proceeds as the previous case. In the case of $3 \leq r \leq 7$ one can deduce similar to the proof of Theorem 4.4 that

$$\begin{aligned} F_{n+2^{m-6},r} - F_{n,r} \equiv & \sum_{k=0}^{2^m-r-1} \sum_{j=r}^{k+r} (-1)^{k+r-j} \binom{k+r}{j} j^{n+1} (j^{2^{m-6}} - 1) \\ & \times \left(\frac{(j-1)!}{(j-r)!} \right) \pmod{2^m}. \end{aligned}$$

Exactly the same as Theorem 4.4, the even j's run out. Therefore only the terms with odd j remain. So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&F_{n+2^{m-6},r}-F_{n,r}\\
&\equiv \sum_{k=0}^{2^m-r-1}\sum_{l=\lfloor r/2\rfloor}^{\lfloor (k+r-1)/2\rfloor}(-1)^{k+r-(2l+1)}\binom{k+r}{2l+1}(2l+1)^{n+1}((2l+1)^{2^{m-6}}-1)\\
&\qquad\times\left(\frac{((2l+1)-1)!}{((2l+1)-r)!}\right)\pmod{2^m}\\
&\equiv 2^{m-5}\sum_{k=0}^{2^m-r-1}(-1)^{k+r-1}\sum_{l=\lfloor r/2\rfloor}^{\lfloor (k+r-1)/2\rfloor}\binom{k+r}{2l+1}(2l+1)^{n+1}\\
&\qquad\times\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2^{m-6}}l^i2^{i-1}\left(\frac{(2^{m-6}-1)!}{i!(2^{m-6}-i)!}\right)\right)\left(\frac{(2l)!}{(2l-r+1)!}\right)\pmod{2^m}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $(2l+1,16)=1$, Euler's Theorem shows that $(2l+1)^{n+1+8}\equiv(2l+1)^{n+1}\pmod{16}$. If $m\geq 10$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{n+2^{m-6},r}-F_{n,r}&\equiv 2^{m-4}\sum_{k=0}^{2^m-r-1}(-1)^{k+r-1}\sum_{l=\lfloor r/2\rfloor}^{\lfloor (k+r-1)/2\rfloor}\binom{k+r}{2l+1}(2l+1)^{n+1}\\
&\qquad\times\left(\frac{l(l+1)}{2}\right)(-2l^2-2l+1)\left(\frac{(2l)!}{(2l-r+1)!}\right)\pmod{2^m}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore it is sufficient to compute the above summation (without factor 2^{m-4}) for $3\leq r\leq 7$ and $9\leq n\leq 16$ to show that it is divisible by 16.

For $7\leq m\leq 9$ we evaluate the summation

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=0}^{2^m-r-1}(-1)^{k+r-1}\sum_{l=\lfloor r/2\rfloor}^{\lfloor (k+r-1)/2\rfloor}\binom{k+r}{2l+1}(2l+1)^{n+1}\sum_{i=1}^{2^{m-6}}l^i2^{i-1}\frac{(2^{m-6}-1)!}{i!(2^{m-6}-i)!}\\
&\qquad\times\left(\frac{(2l)!}{(2l-r+1)!}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

for $m-1\leq n\leq m+6$ to show that it is divisible by 32. Then it follows that $\omega(A_{r,2^m})=2^{m-6}$, for all $m\geq 7$. □

5. The Conclusion

We have the final theorem which shows how to compute $\omega(A_{r,s})$ for any $s\in\mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $s\in\mathbb{N}$ and $s>1$ with the prime factorization $s=2^mp_1^{m_1}p_2^{m_2}\dots p_k^{m_k}$ and let $D=\{p_i^{m_i}\mid p_i^{m_i}>r, 1\leq i\leq k\}$. Define $E=\{m_i-1\mid p_i^{m_i}\in D\}$, $F=\{\varphi(p_i^{m_i})\mid p_i^{m_i}\in D\}$ and $a=max(E\cup\{m-1\})$ and let b be the Least Common Multiple (LCM) of the elements of F. Then*

$$\omega(A_{r,s})=\begin{cases}b, & \text{if } 0\leq m\leq 2 \text{ or } 2^m\leq r;\\ LCM(2,b), & \text{if } 3\leq m\leq 6 \text{ and } 2^m>r\ ;\\ LCM(2^{m-6},b), & \text{if } m\geq 7 \text{ and } 2^m>r;\end{cases}\tag{16}$$

and periodicity of the sequence $A_{r,s}$ is seen after the ath term.

Proof. Let l be the right hand side of (16). For each $d\in D\cup\{2^m\}$, $\omega(A_{r,d})\mid l$ and for each $p_j^{m_j}\notin D$ that $1\leq j\leq k$, $1=\omega(A_{r,p_j^{m_j}})\mid l$, so

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{n+l,r}&\equiv F_{n,r}\pmod{2^m},\\
F_{n+l,r}&\equiv F_{n,r}\pmod{p_i^{m_i}}, \text{ for } i=1,2,\dots,k.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $(2^m,p_1^{m_1},p_2^{m_2},\dots,p_k^{m_k})=1$, the multiplication of all above congruence relations gives the required result. □

References

1. A. Z. Broder, *The r-Stirling numbers*, Discrete Mathematics, vol. 49, no. 3, 1984, pp. 241–259.
2. A. Cayley, *The Collected Mathematical Papers.* Cambridge University Press, vol. 1, 1889.
3. C. Chuan-Chong, K. Khee-Meng *Principles and techniques in combinatorics.* Singapore: World Scientific, vol. 2, 1992.
4. I. Mezo, *Periodicity of the last digits of some combinatorial sequences.* Journal of Integer Sequences, vol. 17, no. 2, 3, 2014.
5. B. Poonen, *Periodicity of a combinatorial sequence*, Fibonacci Quarterly, vol. 26 (1), 1988, pp. 70–76.

Appendix A. Proof of Lemma 4.3

Simplify the lemma's relation we have

$$\frac{2^{i-5}\binom{2^{m-6}-1}{i}}{2^{m-6}-i} = \frac{2^{i-5}(2^{m-6}-1)(2^{m-6}-2)\cdots(2^{m-6}-i+1)}{i!}. \tag{17}$$

It is sufficient to show that the right hand side of (17) is integer. We know that $\binom{2^{m-6}}{i} \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e.,

$$i! \mid 2^{m-6}(2^{m-6}-1)\cdots(2^{m-6}-i+1).$$

If O_i denotes the product of the odd factors of $i!$, since $(O_i, 2^{m-6}) = 1$, then $O_i \mid (2^{m-6}-1)\cdots(2^{m-6}-i+1)$. So in (17) we only want to prove that the powers of 2 in $2^{i-5}(2^{m-6}-1)(2^{m-6}-2)\cdots(2^{m-6}-i+1)$ is greater than or equal to the powers of 2 in $i!$. Let A and B be the greatest integers such that

$$2^A \mid (2^{m-6}-1)(2^{m-6}-2)\cdots(2^{m-6}-i+1), \text{ and}$$
$$2^B \mid i!.$$

Let e be the unique integer such that $2^e \leq i < 2^{e+1}$. So

$$A = \sum_{k=1}^{e} \lfloor \frac{i-1}{2^k} \rfloor, B = \sum_{k=1}^{e} \lfloor \frac{i}{2^k} \rfloor. \tag{18}$$

If we show that

$$B - A \leq e \tag{19}$$

then the lemma is concluded if it is proved that

$$i + A \geq B + 5. \tag{20}$$

Determine (20) by induction. For $e = 2$, three cases are exist as shown in the following table.

i	A	B
5	3	3
6	3	4
7	4	4

For $e \geq 3$ one can deduce by simple induction that

$$2^e \geq e + 5, \tag{21}$$

so $i \geq 2^e \geq e + 5$. Add $B - e$ to these inequalities and use (19) demonstrates (20) for $i \geq 8$.

To prove the equality part of (19), consider $i = 2^e$, for $e \geq 3$. In the case where $2^e < i < 2^{e+1}$, consider $1 \leq k < e$. By The Division Algorithm, $i = 2^k h + r$ where $0 \leq r < 2^k$, therefore $\lfloor \frac{i}{2^k} \rfloor = \lfloor h + \frac{r}{2^k} \rfloor = h$. Furthermore we have

$$\lfloor \frac{i-1}{2^k} \rfloor = \lfloor h + \frac{r-1}{2^k} \rfloor = h + \lfloor \frac{r-1}{2^k} \rfloor. \tag{22}$$

Dividing the inequality $-1 \leq r-1 < 2^k - 1$ by 2^k gives $-1 < \frac{-1}{2^k} \leq \frac{r-1}{2^k} < \frac{2^k-1}{2^k} < 1$ and therefore $-1 \leq \lfloor \frac{r-1}{2^k} \rfloor \leq 0$. It means that the right hand side of (22) is equal to h or $h-1$. Therefore

$$0 \leq \lfloor \frac{i}{2^k} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{i-1}{2^k} \rfloor \leq 1, \text{ for } 1 \leq k < e. \tag{23}$$

If $k = e$, we have $i = 2^e + r'$, $r' > 0$, and $i - 1 = 2^e + r' - 1$. So $\lfloor \frac{i}{2^e} \rfloor = \lfloor 1 + \frac{r'}{2^e} \rfloor = 1$. Since $0 \leq r' - 1 < 2^e$, then $0 \leq \frac{r'-1}{2^e} < 1$ and so $\lfloor \frac{r'-1}{2^e} \rfloor = 0$. Now we can deduce that $\lfloor \frac{i-1}{2^e} \rfloor = \lfloor 1 + \frac{r'-1}{2^e} \rfloor = 1$. Using (23) and $\lfloor \frac{i}{2^e} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{i-1}{2^e} \rfloor = 0$ we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{e} \lfloor \frac{i}{2^k} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{i-1}{2^k} \rfloor \leq e - 1 < e. \tag{24}$$

Substituting these results in the definitions of A and B in (18) gives (19).

National Organization for Development of Exceptional Talents (NODET), Tehran, Iran
E-mail address: asgari@helli.ir

School of Mathematics, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran
E-mail address: jahangiri@ipm.ir